

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,785 10/19/2001		10/19/2001	Kunimitsu Aoki	011415	6974
23850	7590	05/19/2004		EXAMI	NER
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP				MENGISTU, AMARE	
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	·			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	ron, do	20006		2673	\mathcal{C}
				DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/981,785	AOKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amare Mengistu	2673
The MAILING DATE of this communication		with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Country after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the period will expin	MONTH(S) FROM a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If timely filed, may reduce any
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in e priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	.8) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oikawa el al (5,504,622) in view of Baker et al (6,186,648) and Fukui Takuomi et al (JP 4-283790A).

As to claims 1 and 2, **Oikawa el al** (hereinafter **Oikawa**) clearly teaches HUD device by which an image displayed on a windshield of a vehicle and a virtual image of the image projected on the windshield (fig.8) comprising: a correction member (fig.8 (18)), disposed between the windshield (fig.8 (2)) and the display source (fig.8 (4)), for transmitting a light of the image (fig.8 (P1, P2)) there through so as to correct the image to be projected on the windshield so that distortion of the image, which is see from the eye point (fig.8 (10),col.4, lines 65- col.5, line 1, lines 37-40);wherein the correction member is formed by extracting a specific portion, which can cancel out the distortion of an image (col.4, lines 65- col.5, line 1,col.5, lines 55-60).

Oikawa teaches a correction member is parabolic optical reflective face (fig.8 (18)); please note: optical could be a lens), but has failed to teach the curvature is a single radius to extract a specific portion. However; the patent of **Baker et al**

Art Unit: 2673

(hereinafter **Baker**) clearly teaches that for optical reflector to have a single radius curvature is well known (col.7, lines 20-24, 29-37, col.11, lines 32-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have been motivated to substitute **Oikawa** correction member with **Baker**'s a single radius curvature reflector, because this will increase the concentrating power of the optical system and enhancing the collectable radiant flux at the specific target portion.

Oikawa as modified by Baker discloses a parabolic optical correction member with a single radius curvature. Oikawa has failed to disclose that the correction member is a spherical lens. Fukui Takuomi et al (hereinafter Takuomi) clearly states that it is well known for a head up display to have a correction member with a spherical lens (6) (see, CONSTITUTION).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invitation was made to substitute **Oikawa** parabolic correction member with **Takuomi's** spherical correction member since this is an alternative method of making a correction member lens.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamura (5,013,135) in view of Baker et al (6,186,648) and Fukui Takuomi et al (JP 4-283790A).

As to claims 1 and 2, Yamamura clearly teaches HUD device by which an image displayed on a windshield of a vehicle and a virtual image of the image projected on the

Art Unit: 2673

windshield (see, Abstract; figs.1, 3,6) comprising: a correction member (figs.1, 3,6 (3)), disposed between the windshield (figs.6 (19,23)) and the display source (fig.1 (2)), for transmitting a light of the image there through so as to correct the image to be projected on the windshield so that distortion of the image, which is see from the eye point (fig.1,3,6, col.2, lines 48- col.3, lines 10, col.4, lines 49-65, col.8, lines 1-4).

Yamamura teaches a correction member is parabolic optical reflective face (figs. 1,3,6 (3)), but has failed to teach the curvature is a single radius to extract a specific portion. However; the patent of **Baker et al** (hereinafter **Baker**) clearly teaches that for optical reflector to have a single radius curvature is well known (col.7, lines 20-24, 29-37, col.11, lines 32-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have been motivated to substitute **Yamamura** correction member with **Baker**'s a single radius curvature reflector, because this will increase the concentrating power of the optical system and enhancing the collectable radiant flux at the specific target portion.

Yamamura as modified by Baker discloses a parabolic optical correction member with a single radius curvature. Yamamura has failed to disclose that the correction member is a spherical lens. Fukui Takuomi et al (hereinafter Takuomi) clearly states that it is well known for a head up display to have a correction member with a spherical lens (6) (see, CONSTITUTION).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invitation was made to substitute **Yamamura parabolic** correction member with

Art Unit: 2673

Takuomi's spherical correction member since this is an alternative method of making a correction member lens.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amare Mengistu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, T-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Amare Mengistu

Art Unit 2673

A.M

May 15, 2004